## YORK'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

### **EXHIBIT A**

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

DELTA CASKET ENTERPRISES, INC. DELTA CASKET COMPANY, INC., and DELTAURORA, LLC.,

§ §

Plaintiffs,

8

VS.

C.A. NO. 4:05-5-cv-03796 JUDGE ATLAS

THE YORK GROUP, INC., d/b/a/ YORK CASKET COMPANY

§ §

Defendants.

§ 8

THE YORK GROUP, INC.,

§ 8

HORIZON CASKET GROUP, INC., ET AL

Civil Action No. 05-2181

SUBJECT TO CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER ENTERED BY JUDGE WERLEIN

PORTIONS OF THIS DEPOSITION AND EXHIBITS ARE DESIGNATED LAWYER-CONFIDENTIAL

DO NOT READ UNLESS YOU MEET ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF:

# JOSEPH C. BARTOLACCI VOLUME 2

APRIL 7, 2006

### **COPY**



Litigation Group Court Reporting Video Production Videoconferencing

For U.S & International Services 800 - 745 - 1101 detrimental to the sale and distribution of the products or to the reputation or goodwill of York or the products."

Q. Does this Section 6.6(e) from which you just read -
A. Uh-huh.

- Q. -- mean that if Delta Casket Enterprises ceased selling York products and began selling Batesville products, that that would be detrimental to the sale and distribution of -- of York products?
- A. Sir, it -- it means what it says. They will not do anything in any manner -- they will -- the distributor agrees that it will not act in any manner detrimental to the sale and distribution of the products or to the reputation of goodwill of York or the products.

I can't answer the hypothetical as to whether or not selling a Batesville product would violate that provision. I can answer that what has transpired is a violation of that provision.

- Q. What injury does York contend that it has suffered as a result of Horizon's alleged interference with a contract?
  - A. Which contract?
  - Q. Well, which contract does York contend that

Horizon has interfered with?

A. It has interfered with Royal's contract, Delta Casket Enterprises' contract, Warfield-Rohr's contract, York Southern, and Yorktowne's contract and Delta's -- Delta Casket Enterprises' expired contract.

If I hadn't said that earlier, excuse me if I've repeated myself.

- Q. What injury does York contend that it has suffered as a result of Horizon's alleged interference with Royal's distributor agreement?
- A. Lost sales and profits and causing them to breach their contractual obligations to use the best efforts under the agreement and not do any harm as described earlier.
- Q. What is the amount of the alleged injury that York contends that it has suffered?
- A. I'm not sure that we have quantified the damages. But I'm sure our -- our -- we will retain an expert to assist us with that, and we will be looking at the lost discounts, lost sales and the profits therefrom.
- Q. What injury does York contend that it has suffered as a result of Horizon's alleged interference with Delta Casket Enterprises' distributor agreement?
  - A. The injuries derived from the breach of the

contract that was just tortiously interfered with by Billy Grubbs, Gerald Kilpatrick and Delta Casket Company, are the loss of sales and profits in that territory, as well as any diminution of our goodwill in that territory.

- Q. What is the amount of the alleged injury that York contends it has suffered?
- A. We will retain an expert witness to help us develop that information, sir. I am not that person.
- Q. What injury does York contend that it has suffered as a result of Horizon's alleged interference with Warfield-Rohr's agreements with York?
- A. We are alleging -- I want to be clear here.

  We're not -- when you're isolating an individual

  defendant here, I don't want to make claim that only one

  of those defendants is responsible for the tortious

  interference.

This is a collective scheme. So, the tortious interference claim really relates to the tortious interference that Horizon and others have caused having interfered with the distribution agreements of the various parties mentioned.

Q. What injury does York contend that it has suffered as a result of Horizon's alleged interference with Warfield-Rohr's agreements with York?

THE STATE OF TEXAS 1 COUNTY OF HARRIS 2 3 4 5 6 I, DONNA L. LeVASSEUR, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, do hereby 7 certify to the following: 8 9 That the witness, JOSEPH C. BARTOLACCI, VOLUME 2, was duly sworn by the officer and that the transcript of 10 the oral deposition is a true record of the testimony 11 12 given by the witness; That the deposition transcript was submitted on 13 14 2006, to the witness, or to the attorney for the witness, for examination, signature, 15 16 and return to Worldwide Court Reporters, Inc., by 17 2006. 18 That the amount of time used by each party at the 19 deposition is as follows: 20 Mr. Charles E. Frost, Jr. - 4 hours, 16 minutes 21 Mr. Douglas H. Elliott - 1 hour, 43 minutes I further certify that I am neither counsel for, 22 23 related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was 24 25 taken, and further that I am not financially or

1	otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.
2	GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE, on this, the
3	day of April, 2006.
4	
5	Donna Le Vasseur
6	
7	DONNA L. LeVASSEUR, TEXAS CSR NO. 4785 Expiration Date: 12-31-07
8	
9	WODI DUTDE COIDE DEPONERDO TVO
10	WORLDWIDE COURT REPORTERS, INC. Firm Registration No. 223 3000 Weslayan, Suite 235
11	Houston, Texas 77027 (800) 745-1101
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	